

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/989,265	11/20/2001	Man Kuk Lo	SC1000AH 2987		
23125	7590 07/10/2006		EXAMINER		
FREESCALE SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.			SEFCHECK, GREGORY B		
LAW DEPARTMENT 7700 WEST PARMER LANE MD:TX32/PL02			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78729			2616	<del></del>	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/989,265	LO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory B. Sefcheck	2616		

		Oregory B. Octoneck	L 2010	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>23 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
th p a ti	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or or his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant me periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
_	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) <u> </u> ≥	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final reject	ion.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have be under 3 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
fi a	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(8	a) $\square$ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	
	o) $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
·	<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>			the issues for
(0	$\operatorname{H})$ $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).		•	_
h T C	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: claim(s) allowed: claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	laim(s) rejected to laim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 14-16</u> .			
С	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFID/	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲 T e	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to chowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. 🛛	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🔲	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. 🔲	Other:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. The Examiner has reviewed all of the record and believes the rejections of claims 1-6 and 14-16 are proper.

Applicant contends that the rejections are improper because Viswanadham does not explicitly disclose a dual port memory coupled to processors 10 and 12.

However, this is admitted on pg. 5 of the Final Rejection filed 4/24/2006. The Examiner has shown through the disclosure of Viswanadham that both processors 10 and 12 require the information stored in memory 16 to perform their disclosed functions. Therefore, though it is not explicitly shown in Fig. 3, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to couple memory 16 to both processors. Furthermore, Fig. 3 of Viswanadham shows memory 16 coupled to the system through ASIC 20 via a bidirectional path, illustrating the ability to both write and read data from memory. As such, memory 16 is a dual port (write and read) memory that reads upon the claim limitations..

6/30/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600